





Residue Networks: Understanding the Time Evolution and Mutations of Proteins from a Graph Theoretical Perspective Tandac Furkan Guclu Sabancı University



Residue networks (RN)

- C_{β} (C_{α} for glycine) taken as nodes (vertices)
- Nodes inside of 6.7 Å interpreted as interacting nodes and an edge (link) assigned
- 240 networks (per 1 ns) for each PDZ complex for further centrality analyses





Structural segments of PDZ3

- N-terminus (residues 299-310)
- *α*₂ (residue 372-380)
- *α*₃ (residues 393-400)
- C-terminus (residues 401-415)
- Ligand



 $\begin{array}{c} WT_{L1} & WT_{L2} \\ G330T_{L1} & G330T_{L2} \\ H372A_{L1} & H372A_{L2} \\ DM_{L1} & DM_{L2} \end{array}$

Node BC



Guclu et al., JPCB 2021.

Community composition

 Assessing the composition of communities and scoring the structural origins of members shed light on communication between the segments





Blue and Black together in a community in this instance so the score is 1.

Average community co-inhabitance of N-terminus and ligand exhibits ligand specificity in PDZ3.

Community sharing score of N-terminus and ligand for 3-6 community separation.

System label	$\Omega = 3$	${oldsymbol \Omega}=4$	$\Omega = 5$	$\Omega = 6$
WT _{L1}	$\boldsymbol{0.93\pm0.02}$	$\boldsymbol{0.84\pm0.02}$	0.66 ± 0.03	0.56 ± 0.03
WTL2	$\boldsymbol{0.84\pm0.02}$	0.63 ± 0.03	0.32 ± 0.03	0.14 ± 0.02
G330TL1	0.90 ± 0.02	$\boldsymbol{0.80 \pm 0.03}$	0.44 ± 0.03	0.26 ± 0.03
G330TL2	$\boldsymbol{0.93\pm0.02}$	$\boldsymbol{0.79 \pm 0.03}$	0.55 ± 0.03	0.48 ± 0.03
H372AL1	$\boldsymbol{0.88 \pm 0.02}$	0.31 ± 0.03	0.14 ± 0.02	0.09 ± 0.02
H372AL2	$\boldsymbol{0.88 \pm 0.02}$	$\boldsymbol{0.70\pm0.03}$	0.35 ± 0.03	0.15 ± 0.02
DM _{L1}	$\boldsymbol{0.97 \pm 0.01}$	0.56 ± 0.03	0.36 ± 0.03	0.33 ± 0.03
DML2	$\boldsymbol{0.90\pm0.02}$	0.50 ± 0.03	0.32 ± 0.03	0.21 ± 0.03

*values greater than 0.7 shown in bold; those less than 0.5 are colored blue.



Guclu et al., JPCB 2021.

Community sharing for structural segments

- N and C has > 0.85 co-inhabitance fraction in all forms; essential for binding.
- α_3 acts as a hub in allosteric communication, its fraction is > 0.5 for every other segment and in all PDZ3 forms.
- α₂, at binding grove, separates with ligand in WT and G330T indicating the drastic_{N-terminus} underlying changes.



Guclu et al., JPCB 2021.

 $\begin{array}{c} WT_{L1} & WT_{L2} \\ G330T_{L1} & G330T_{L2} \\ H372A_{L1} & H372A_{L2} \\ DM_{L1} & DM_{L2} \end{array}$

	ę				ę	ę	ę			₽	ę		₽	₽					₽										
299 P	E	F	L	G	É	Ė	Ď	1	Ρ	R	Ė	Р	Ŕ	Ŕ	Т	V	Т	Н	Ŕ	G	S	т	G	L	G	F	Ν		V
329 G	G	Ĕ	Å	G	Ϋ́Ε	G		F	1	s	F		L	Δ	G	G	Р	Δ	R		S	G	Ĕ	L	Ψ R	Ψ K	G	P P	Q
	•	-		•	-	Ū	φ		φ		·			 $\hat{\Theta}$			·		-		₽		-	-			•	_	
359	L	S	V	N A	G	V	D	L	R	N	Α	S	H	E	Q	A	A		A	L	K	N	Α	G	Q	T	V	Т	
389	А	Q	Y	Ϋ́Κ	Ρ	Ĕ	Ĕ	Y	s	R	F	Ĕ	Α	N	s	Ϋ́R	v	Ď	S	S	G	Υ R		V	т	Ď			
Ψ			φ																										
2 K	N			Q	Т	S	V																						





- By taking I316, A375 and F400 as reference for red, green and blue
- We check if residues share the same community

A375 α_2 Liganc F400 1316 α_3 N-terminus C-terminus Guclu et al., JPCB 2021.

 $\begin{array}{c} WT_{L1} & WT_{L2} \\ G330T_{L1} & G330T_{L2} \\ H372A_{L1} & H372A_{L2} \\ DM_{L1} & DM_{L2} \end{array}$



Coloring based on community composition for $\Omega = 4$

For a successful binding:

- 1. N-terminus must share a community with the C-terminus and α_3 (blue)
- Ligand must not only be a part of the binding site community (green)



Coloring based on community composition for $\Omega = 4$

For a successful binding:

- 1. N-terminus must share a community with the C-terminus and α_3 (blue)
- Ligand must not only be a part of the binding site community (green)







Thanks!



Co-funded by the European Union



This project has received funding from the European High-Performance Computing Joint Undertaking (JU) under grant agreement No 101191697. The JU receives support from the Digital Europe Programme and Germany, Türkiye, Republic of North Macedonia, Montenegro, Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina.